USING LANDSCAPE ECOLOGY TO TEST HYPOTHESES ABOUT LARGE-SCALE ABUNDANCE PATTERNS IN MIGRATORY BIRDS[1]

CURTIS H. FLATHER
U.S. Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colorado 80526 USA

JOHN R. SAUER
National Biological Service, Patuxent Environmental Science Center, Laurel, Maryland 20708 USA

Abstract. The hypothesis that Neotropical migrant birds may be undergoing widespread declines due to land use activities on the breeding grounds has been examined primarily by synthesizing results from local studies. Growing concern for the cumulative influence of land use activities on ecological systems has heightened the need for large-scale studies to complement what has been observed at local scales. We investigated possible landscape effects on Neotropical migrant bird populations for the eastern United States by linking two large-scale inventories designed to monitor breeding-bird abundances and land use patterns. The null hypothesis of no relation between landscape structure and Neotropical migrant abundance was tested by correlating measures of landscape structure with bird abundance, while controlling for the geographic distance among samples.

Neotropical migrants as a group were more "sensitive" to landscape structure than either temperate migrants or permanent residents. Neotropical migrants tended to be more abundant in landscapes with a greater proportion of forest and wetland habitats, fewer edge habitats, larger forest patches, and with forest habitats well dispersed throughout the scene. Permanent residents showed few correlations with landscape structure and temperate migrants were associated with habitat diversity and edge attributes rather than with the amount, size, and dispersion of forest habitats. The association between Neotropical migrant abundance and forest fragmentation differed among physiographic strata, suggesting that landscape context affects observed relations between bird abundance and landscape structure. Finally, associations between landscape structure and temporal trends in Neotropical migrant abundance were counter to those observed in space. Trends in Neotropical migrant abundance were negatively correlated with forest habitats. These results suggest that extrapolation of patterns observed in some landscapes is not likely to hold regionally, and that conservation policies must consider the variation in landscape structure associations observed among different types of bird species and in physiographic strata with varying land use histories.

Key words: eastern USA forests; forest fragmentation; land-use impacts; landscape ecology; landscape structure; Neotropical migrant birds; regional analysis; spatial and temporal correlations.

INTRODUCTION

Much of the evidence linking population declines of Neotropical migrant birds to habitat changes is based on long-term monitoring studies of a particular woodlot or reserve, or studies comparing species abundance patterns among forest fragments within a relatively confined geography (see Askins et al. 1990 for a review). The patterns of population decline documented in this literature appear to be consistent from place to place. However, general regional patterns are difficult to infer when results across independent studies are combined, unless the studies can be shown to be a representative sample (Brown and Maurer 1989). This problem, coupled with the observation that variability of local populations can manifest as population stability over broader geographic areas (Fahrig and Merriam 1994), calls for regional approaches to complement what has been learned from local investigations (Lubchenco et al. 1991).

Although the North American Breeding Bird Survey (BBS) has contributed to continental and regional investigation of Neotropical bird population dynamics (Robbins et al. 1989b, Sauer and Droege 1992), analyses of survey trends have typically failed to consider accompanying habitat patterns. How the spatial configurations of habitats affect the distribution and abundance of organisms is a question increasingly associated with landscape ecology (Naveh and Leiberman

[1] For reprints of this Special Feature, see footnote 1, p. 1.

1984, Forman and Godron 1986, Turner 1989). A landscape perspective extends the traditional study of homogeneous patches to consideration of the overall land type mosaic (Hobbs 1993, Wiens et al. 1993). To investigate possible landscape effects in a regional study of spatial and temporal patterns of Neotropical migrant bird abundance, we merged two independent large-scale monitoring efforts: the BBS and digital land use and land cover data from the U.S. Geological Survey (USDI, Geological Survey 1987). By linking these data spatially, we were able to couple information on bird relative abundances with land use and habitat patterns immediately surrounding each BBS survey route.

We tested the general null hypothesis that Neotropical migrant bird abundances were unrelated to landscape structure by examining three questions: (1) Do Neotropical migrant birds, as a group, correlate with landscape structure uniquely when compared to birds with other migratory habits? (2) Does the pattern of correlation between Neotropical- migrants and landscape structure vary among regions with different land use histories? (3) Is the pattern of correlation between landscape structure and temporal trends of Neotropical migrants consistent with that observed spatially? We focused our investigation on the forested region of the eastern United States.

METHODS

Geographic information on bird relative abundance and population change

The BBS provides information on relative abundance of bird species at a landscape scale. The survey consists of >3000 roadside routes located on secondary roads throughout the United States and southern Canada. Each route is 39.4 km long and is surveyed once each year in June. A competent observer conducts 50 3-min point-counts at 0.8-km intervals on the roadside, recording all birds heard and seen during the counts. This avian species pool was then partitioned into categories (i.e., Neotropical migrants, temperate migrants, and permanent residents) according to Peterjohn and Sauer (1993).

All analyses of BBS data are complicated by limitations of the survey method, in that counts are a biased index to the population (Barker and Sauer 1992, Barker et al. 1993). The proportion of individuals counted along a survey route may be quite low (<0.5), and differs according to various factors, including the observer's ability to perceive, identify, and record birds (Sauer et al. 1994). In addition, there is evidence of a start-up effect among observers, who tend to have lower counts the first year they survey a route (Erskine 1978). Observer effects can thus bias estimates of population change and relative abundance on BBS routes.

Furthermore, estimates of mean relative abundance on a route are complicated by population change, which causes abundance to be year-specific.

For comparison with landscape attributes along BBS routes, we estimated population change and mean relative abundance over the survey period (1966–1993) on each route for individual bird species that were observed. Population change was modeled on individual routes as a regression of the natural logarithm of counts plus 0.5 (to avoid domain errors) against year. In the analyses, we mitigated the observer effects by including indicator variables for observers and omitting the first survey year for each observer on the route. We used a marginal mean count (Searle et al. 1980) from the regression to estimate the relative abundance in the middle year of the survey for the mean observer. Although still containing route-specific biases, these marginal mean estimates of relative abundance do control for biases associated with observer changes and trend.

Linking landscape structure with bird abundance

Digital land use and land cover data from the U.S. Geological Survey were used to quantify landscape structure within a circular scene of radius 19.7 km centered on each BBS route (area $\approx$1200 km^2). A radius of half the length of a BBS route was chosen to guarantee that each landscape scene would contain the whole route. High-altitude aerial photographs, usually at scales smaller than 1:60 000, were used to digitize and transfer land use and land cover data to 1:250 000 base maps in grid format (USDI, Geological Survey 1987). Each grid cell (200 × 200 m) was classified into one of nine broad land types, including urban or built-up land, agricultural land, rangeland, forest land, water, wetland, barren land, tundra, and perennial snow or ice, based on criteria specified in Anderson et al. (1976).

Landscape structure measures were of four general types: composition, diversity/edge, patch characteristics, and patch configuration (Table 1). Many of the same landscape structure measures, albeit over areas less extensive than those we used in our study, have been shown to be associated with the distribution and abundance of birds (Whitcomb et al. 1981, Ambuel and Temple 1983, Lynch and Whigham 1984, Freemark and Merriam 1986, Blake and Karr 1987, van Dorp and Opdam 1987, Lescourret and Genard 1994).

The simplest measure of landscape structure is land type composition, or the proportion of four major land uses within each scene (Table 1). Habitat area alone, however, has been an insufficient predictor of regional changes in bird abundance (Väisänen et al. 1986), indicating a need for explicit measures of spatial heterogeneity. Diversity and edge variables represent com-

TABLE 1. Landscape structure attributes based on U.S. Geo-
logical Survey Land Use and Land Cover Digital Data.

Landscape attribute	Description
Composition	Proportion of the landscape scene in:
F	Forest land
W	Wetland
A	Agriculture (cropland and pasture)
U	Urban land
Diversity/edge	
H'	Shannon diversity index: $H' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i \ln P_i$, where P_i is the proportion of land type i, and n is the number of land types in the landscape scene.
D	Measures land type dominance, the tendency for one or a few land types to comprise a majority of the landscape. Calculated as: $D = \ln n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i \ln P_i$, dominance is scaled to vary over 0–1, where $D \to 1$ reflects landscapes dominated by one or a few land types.
C	Measure of land type contagion, the extent to which land types are aggregated in contiguous patches. $C = n \ln n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij} \ln P_{ij}$, where P_{ij} is the probability that land type i is adjacent to land type j. Contagion is scaled to vary over 0–1, where $C \to 1$ indicates a clumped pattern (see O'Neill et al. 1988).
E_T	Total edge among all land types.
$E_{F/A}$	Edge between forest and agricultural land.
$E_{F/U}$	Edge between forest and urban land.
Patch characteristics	
F_S	Average size of forest patches.
$F_\#$	Number of forest patches.
A_S	Average size of agriculture patches.
$A_\#$	Number of agriculture patches.
U_S	Average size of urban patches.
$U_\#$	Number of urban patches.
Patch configuration	
$D_{P/A}$	Fractal dimension based on the perimeter–area method (see Krummel et al. 1987). Measures the complexity of forest patch shape.
D_G	Fractal dimension based on the grid method (see Milne 1991). Measures the dispersion of forest patches.

posite measures of landscape structure, summarizing
information about all (or several) of the land types
comprising the mosaic. We included them as potential
attributes affecting Neotropical migrant bird abun-
dance, based on their noted influence on organism dis-
persal and biotic interactions (Wiens et al. 1985). Patch
characteristics and configuration are land type-specific
measures of landscape structure, included to capture
fragmentation effects. In addition to patch attributes of
natural (forest) habitats, we also examined patch char-

acteristics associated with disturbed habitats (agricul-
ture and urban land uses).

The statistics of geographic association

We used Mantel's test (Mantel 1967, Manly 1991)
to examine the association between bird data and land-
scape structure attributes. Mantel's test evaluates the
association between differences in attributes on dif-
ferent routes. Although the data were not collected ac-
cording to an experimental design, the samples are ran-
dom and therefore allow the use of Mantel's test (Manly
1991:115). Because it is clear that spatial patterns do
exist in relative abundances and trends of Neotropical
migrant birds (e.g., Sauer and Droege 1992), we also
included geographic distance as an independent vari-
able in the analysis. Consequently, the model we fit to
each bird species is:

$$a_{ij} = \tau_0 + \tau_{AB.C} b_{ij} + \tau_{AC.B} c_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij},$$

where a_{ij} is the difference in bird relative abundance
between routes i and j; b_{ij} is the difference in the land-
scape variable between routes i and j; c_{ij} is the distance
between the starting points of routes i and j; $\tau_{AB.C}$ is
the relationship between a_{ij} and b_{ij} when c_{ij} is fixed;
$\tau_{AC.B}$ is the relationship between a_{ij} and c_{ij} when b_{ij} is
fixed; τ_0 is the intercept; and ϵ_{ij} is the error term. Hy-
potheses were tested using $\tau_{AB.C}$, which quantifies the
association between bird data and landscape structure
attributes that is independent of distance effects. For
all analyses, species were treated as replicates; for each
bird species, a separate model was fit using each land-
scape structure attribute. From these analyses, we ex-
tracted the slope of the relation between bird species
data and landscape attribute (estimated regression co-
efficients $\hat{\tau}_{AB.C}$) and the sample size (i.e., number of
survey routes where a species was observed) used in
each species analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Migratory habit and landscape structure

To address our first question of whether or not Neo-
tropical migrant abundances are, as a class, associated
with landscape structure, a mean $\hat{\tau}_{AB.C}$ among all Neo-
tropical migrants $\bar{\tau}_{AB.C}$ was estimated. If bird relative
abundance from route to route is not related to land-
scape structure, then $\bar{\tau}_{AB.C}$ among Neotropical migrants
would not be expected to deviate from 0. Bird species
had to be observed on ≥ 30 routes to be included in
the analysis. We found that Neotropical migrant abun-
dance was related to landscape structure. H_0: $\bar{\tau}_{AB.C} =$
0 was rejected ($P < 0.05$) in 17 of 18 landscape struc-
ture attributes (Table 2). In addition, the actual pattern
of association between Neotropical migrant abun-
dances and landscape structure was biologically sen-

TABLE 2. Mean slopes ($\bar{\tau}_{ABC}$) and probabilities of $\bar{\tau}_{ABC} = 0$ for the relations between landscape structure and relative abundance of birds in the eastern United States. One-way ANOVA tests for differences among migratory categories in their association with landscape attributes. The number of species contributing to the estimate of the mean ranges from 70 to 73 for Neotropical migrants, from 32 to 34 for temperate migrants, and from 21 to 22 for permanent residents.

Land-scape attri-bute	Neotropical		Temperate		Resident		ANOVA	
	$\bar{\tau}_{ABC}$	$P(\bar{\tau} = 0)$	$\bar{\tau}_{ABC}$	$P(\bar{\tau} = 0)$	$\bar{\tau}_{ABC}$	$P(\bar{\tau} = 0)$	F	$P(\bar{\tau}_N = \bar{\tau}_T = \bar{\tau}_R)$*
Composition								
F	0.18	<0.01	0.07	0.19	0.09	0.08	19.3	<0.01
W	0.06	<0.01	0.05	0.11	0.05	0.19		
A	−0.20	<0.01	−0.11	0.07	−0.12	0.04	7.4	<0.01
U	−0.13	<0.01	−0.16	<0.01	−0.04	0.07	4.0	0.02
Diversity/edge								
H'	−0.06	0.01	−0.12	<0.01	0.03	0.34	5.9	<0.01
D	0.06	0.01	0.12	<0.01	−0.03	0.34	6.0	<0.01
C	0.07	<0.01	0.11	<0.01	0.01	0.46		
E_T	−0.05	0.04	−0.14	<0.01	0.03	0.40	4.9	0.01
$E_{F/A}$	−0.07	0.01	−0.13	<0.01	<0.01	>0.99		
$E_{F/U}$	−0.08	<0.01	−0.15	<0.01	<0.01	0.95	7.5	<0.01
Patch characteristics								
F_S	0.09	<0.01	0.05	0.16	0.04	0.33	6.6	<0.01
$F_\#$	−0.13	<0.01	−0.12	<0.01	−0.02	0.54	4.2	0.02
A_S	−0.11	<0.01	−0.06	0.04	−0.10	0.01	6.9	<0.01
$A_\#$	0.01	0.61	−0.13	<0.01	0.02	0.61	9.9	<0.01
U_S	−0.05	<0.01	−0.05	<0.01	−0.02	0.31	3.6	0.03
$U_\#$	−0.12	<0.01	−0.15	<0.01	−0.04	0.09		
Patch configuration								
$D_{P/A}$	−0.07	<0.01	−0.05	0.03	−0.03	0.41		
D_G	−0.15	<0.01	−0.04	0.33	−0.12	0.12	10.7	<0.01

* Subscripts on $\bar{\tau}$ are N, Neotropical; T, Temperate; and R, Resident. Only significant ($P < 0.05$) results are presented.

sible. For instance, landscape scenes that were characterized by greater retention of natural habitats (i.e., forest and wetlands) supported greater relative abundances of Neotropical migrants than did landscapes with high proportions of human-dominated land uses (i.e., agriculture and urban land). The relative abundance of Neotropical migrants was lower in landscape scenes where diversity and edge were higher and forest edges were more complex ($D_{P/A}$); average forest patch size was small and the number of forest patches was high; and the distance among forest land tended to be high (D_G). In contrast, permanent residents were conspicuous by their "insensitivity" to variation in landscape structure, with only three of 18 landscape attributes yielding an average significant deviation from the null hypothesis of $\bar{\tau}_{ABC} = 0$ (Table 2). Finally, temperate migrant abundances were associated with a moderate number of landscape structure attributes. The distinguishing pattern among these short-distance migrants was their strong correlation with diversity and edge attributes and the absence of association with attributes related to the amount, size of patch, and dispersion of forest habitats.

These results suggest that Neotropical migrants are related to landscape structure in a way that is unique when compared to species with other migratory habits. Differences among migratory strategies in landscape structure associations were tested using a one-way ANOVA (Proc GLM, SAS Institute 1985). Slope estimates ($\hat{\tau}_{ABC}$) from Mantel's test were the dependent variables proportionately weighted by sample size (number of routes), and each landscape attribute was tested separately. ANOVA results confirmed that landscape structure associations differed ($P < 0.05$) among migratory habit categories in 13 of 18 landscape attributes (Table 2). Past studies have noted differences among migratory habitat categories with respect to population trends (Robbins et al. 1989b, Johnston and Hagan 1992). Explanations for these differences include: differential susceptibility of Neotropical migrants to forest fragmentation (Whitcomb et al. 1981, Robbins et al. 1989a); differential susceptibility of permanent residents, and, to a lesser degree, temperate migrants, to severe weather (Robbins et al. 1989b); and the broader environmental tolerances expected in permanent residents and temperate migrants relative to Neotropical migrants (Stevens 1989, O'Connor 1992). The patterns of association between relative abundance and landscape structure we report in Table 2 are consistent with these explanations.

TABLE 3. Mean slopes ($\bar{\tau}_{AB.C}$) and probabilities of $\bar{\tau}_{AB.C} = 0$ for the relations between landscape structure and trend of Neotropical migrants from 1966 to 1993 in the eastern United States. The number of species contributing to the estimate of the mean for Neotropical migrants ranges from 70 to 73.

Landscape attribute	Neotropical migrants		Landscape attribute	Neotropical migrants	
	$\bar{\tau}_{AB.C}$	$P(\bar{\tau} = 0)$		$\bar{\tau}_{AB.C}$	$P(\bar{\tau} = 0)$
Composition			Patch characteristics		
F	−0.02	0.12	F_S	−0.03	<0.01
W	0.01	0.62	$F_\#$	0.02	0.07
A	0.20	0.16	A_S	0.00	0.70
U	−0.01	0.29	$A_\#$	0.00	0.89
Diversity/edge			U_S	0.00	0.79
			$U_\#$	−0.01	0.36
H′	0.01	0.16	Patch configuration		
D	−0.01	0.26			
C	0.00	0.84	$D_{P/A}$	0.02	0.36
E_T	0.02	0.04	D_G	0.01	0.13
$E_{F/A}$	0.02	0.11			
$E_{F/U}$	−0.02	0.03			

Landscape associations in strata with varying land use histories

Because landscape structure varies regionally, habitat features and species composition are expected to vary geographically, as well. Habitat selection should thus reflect differing landscape conditions, habitat features, and species pools (Hansen et al. 1993), suggesting that a comprehensive understanding of species–habitat relations requires study in different areas throughout their geographic range (Martin 1992, Maurer and Heywood 1993). To ask whether or not landscape structure associations vary geographically, we focused on Neotropical migrants and a landscape structure measure reflective of forest fragmentation (D_G). We examined the association between Neotropical migrant relative abundance and forest fragmentation within physiographic strata as defined by Robbins et al. (1986). Bird species had to be observed on ≥10 routes in each stratum to be included in this analysis. Spearman rank correlation between mean $\tau_{AB.C}$ and mean D_G was used to test whether or not fragmentation effects on Neotropical migrant relative abundance were similar among strata (H_0: $r_s = 0$). Rank correlation among physiographic strata ($r_s = -0.67$, $P = 0.0006$) showed that slopes ($\bar{\tau}_{AB.C}$) differed among strata, indicating that Neotropical migrant associations with forest fragmentation varied in different portions of the eastern United States. This pattern could not be attributed simply to a general retention of forest habitats, because a comparable stratum-level analysis with the average proportion of forest habitats revealed no relation ($P = 0.19$). Whether variation in landscape structure associations among strata can be attributed to differences in land use history, or to variation in species composition (i.e., differences in the species comprising the Neotropical migrant group among strata), or to an interaction between these factors is difficult to address

with our current data sets. Information on land use changes over time and a more detailed examination within strata of the species comprising the broad group called Neotropical migrants will be required to more fully address this issue.

Temporal trends and landscape structure

In addressing the relation between landscape structure and temporal trends in Neotropical migrants, we were limited by the cross-sectional nature of the land use data. Consequently, we were unable to directly examine the change in bird populations with change in landscape attributes over time. Despite this limitation, we were interested in whether or not landscape structure associations with temporal trends in Neotropical migrants were consistent with those observed in our previous analysis of spatial variation in relative abundance. To do this, we estimated route-specific trends for each Neotropical migrant from the BBS for the period 1966–1993. Again, bird species had to be observed on ≥30 routes to be included in the analysis. Mantel's test was used to quantify the association with landscape attributes as before, except that composite trend estimates were used as the dependent variable in the models.

Two results are notable. First, we found few associations between Neotropical migrant trends and landscape structure (Table 3); in particular, only three of 18 landscape attributes (total edge, edge among forest and urban land uses, and mean size of forest patches) were related to temporal trends in Neotropical migrants (H_0: $\bar{\tau}_{AB.C} = 0$, $P < 0.05$). Second, we found counterintuitive results with mean size of forest patches and total edge. Trends in Neotropical abundance were lower in those landscapes with larger forest patches and higher in those landscapes characterized by greater amounts of edge environments. The possibility exists that these

results are spurious, due simply to random chance, particularly in light of the small number of associations observed. However, the pattern is consistent among other landscape structure attributes with marginal (0.05 $< P < 0.20$) significance (Table 3). The proportion of the scene in forest and agriculture, Shannon diversity, forest/agriculture edge, and the number and dispersion of forest patches all indicate that Neotropical migrant trends tend to be lower in those landscape scenes that apparently have been subjected to lesser degrees of anthropogenic disturbance. Similar results have been observed by others; James et al. (1996) observed an average species decline among wood warblers in several upland and highland physiographic strata, and Sauer and Droege (1992) observed a preponderance of declining trends among Neotropical migrants along the Appalachian Mountains, regions characterized by a greater retention of forest habitats. These results suggest that factors other than fragmentation of forest habitats on the breeding ground should receive greater consideration in explaining temporal trends in Neotropical migrants. However, in the absence of land use time series, interpretation is difficult since the rate of land use change may be high in these relatively undisturbed areas.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Human actions associated with land use and natural resource management are having what many believe to be unprecedented effects on natural habitats and ecosystems. Understanding the influence of landscape structure on spatial and temporal patterns of species abundance is an important component of developing prescriptive management recommendations to conserve biological resources. We are far from that capability. Much of what has been reported in the literature is of a descriptive nature (Wiens 1992b), documenting patterns of association such as we have reported here. We acknowledge the danger of inferring causation from correlations, a pervasive problem associated with this scale of investigation. However, this should not preclude macroecological study. Careful interpretation and analysis of extant data sources serves an important heuristic function (Carpenter 1990), can lead to insights into the factors affecting patterns in the distribution and abundance of species (Brown 1984), and can provide a regional context for interpreting and guiding future local studies (Askins et al. 1990, Wiens 1992a). By coupling data on landscape structure to the BBS, and therefore to its sample design, statistical concerns about combining independent local studies to infer regional patterns can be ameliorated.

In this paper, we have demonstrated that Neotropical migrant birds as a group are broadly associated with landscape features and have patterns of association that differ from those of temperate migrants and nonmigratory species. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of these associations at a regional scale. However, the generality of our results is limited by two consequences of the association analysis. First, while migration habits define a grouping of management and ecological interest, birds in each migration group have a variety of breeding habitats and responses to fragmentation. Our results simply suggest that a preponderance of species in the group show an association, but we do not imply that any group is homogeneous in response to the landscape variables. Second, the landscape variables were chosen to explore whether or not associations between bird abundances and attributes of habitat composition, diversity, and fragmentation could be detected regionally, not to indicate which attribute among a related set of landscape features is most important in discriminating the groups.

Although the results we observed across the eastern United States were, on the whole, consistent with the findings from local studies, our analyses also highlight the risks associated with broad application of conservation strategies (Kareiva 1987, Martin 1992). Species with varying migratory habits responded differently to spatial variation in landscape structure, and Neotropical migrant species showed different patterns of association with forest fragmentation in different physiographic strata. Similarly, temporal patterns of Neotropical migrant abundance were associated with landscape structure in a way that was counter to those suggested by a spatial analysis of bird abundance. These results indicate that extrapolation of patterns observed in local studies may not hold regionally. Policy makers and managers should consider landscape context in defining and implementing conservation policy.

LITERATURE CITED

Ambuel, B., and S. A. Temple. 1983. Area-dependent changes in the bird communities and vegetation of southern Wisconsin forests. Ecology 64:1057–1068.

Anderson, J. R., E. E. Hardy, J. T. Roach, and R. E. Witmer. 1976. A land use and land cover classification system for use with remote sensor data. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Geological Survey Professional Paper 964.

Askins, R. A., J. F. Lynch, and R. Greenberg. 1990. Population declines in migratory birds in eastern North America. Current Ornithology 7:1–57.

Barker, R. J., and J. R. Sauer. 1992. Modelling population change from time series data. Pages 182–194 in D. R. McCullough and R. H. Barrett, editors. Wildlife 2001: populations. Elsevier Applied Science, New York, New York, USA.

Barker, R. J., J. R. Sauer, and W. A. Link. 1993. Optimal allocation of point-count sampling effort. Auk **110**:752–758.

Blake, J. G., and J. R. Karr. 1987. Breeding birds of isolated woodlots: area and habitat relationships. Ecology **68**:1724–1734.

Brown, J. H. 1984. On the relationship between abundance and distribution of species. American Naturalist **124**:255–279.

Brown, J. H., and B. A. Maurer. 1989. Macroecology: the division of food and space among species on continents. Science **243**:1145–1150.

Carpenter, S. R. 1990. Large-scale perturbations: opportunities for innovation. Ecology **71**:2038–2043.

Erskine, A. J. 1978. The first ten years of the cooperative breeding bird survey in Canada. Canadian Wildlife Service Report Series **42**:1–61.

Fahrig, L., and G. Merriam. 1994. Conservation of fragmented populations. Conservation Biology **8**:50–59.

Forman, R. T. T., and M. Godron. 1986. Landscape ecology. John Wiley and Sons, New York, New York, USA.

Freemark, K. E., and H. G. Merriam. 1986. Importance of area and habitat heterogeneity to bird assemblages in temperate forest fragments. Biological Conservation **36**:115–141.

Hansen, A. J., S. L. Garman, B. Marks, and D. L. Urban. 1993. An approach for managing vertebrate diversity across multiple-use landscapes. Ecological Applications **3**:481–496.

Hobbs, R. J. 1993. Effects of landscape fragmentation on ecosystem processes in the western Australian wheatbelt. Biological Conservation **64**:193–201.

James, F. C., C. E. McCulloch, and D. A. Wiedenfeld. 1996. New approaches to the analysis of population trends in land birds. Ecology **77**:13–27.

Johnston, D. W., and J. M. Hagan, III. 1992. An analysis of long-term breeding bird cencuses from eastern deciduous forests. Pages 75–84 *in* J. M. Hagan, III, and D. W. Johnston, editors. Ecology and conservation of Neotropical migrant landbirds. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C., USA.

Kareiva, P. 1987. Habitat fragmentation and the stability of predator-prey interactions. Nature **326**:388–390.

Krummel, J. R., R. H. Gardner, G. Sugihara, R. V. O'Neill, and P. R. Coleman. 1987. Landscape pattern in a disturbed environment. Oikos **48**:321–324.

Lescourret, F., and M. Genard. 1994. Habitat, landscape and bird composition in mountain forest fragments. Journal of Environmental Management **40**:317–328.

Lubchenco, J., A. M. Olson, L. B. Brubaker, S. R. Carpenter, M. M. Holland, S. P. Hubbell, S. A. Levin, J. A. MacMahon, P. A. Matson, J. M. Melillo, H. A. Mooney, C. H. Peterson, H. R. Pulliam, L. A. Real, P. J. Regal, P. G. Risser. 1991. The sustainable biosphere initiative: an ecological research agenda. Ecology **72**:371–412.

Lynch, J. F., and D. F. Whigham. 1984. Effects of forest fragmentation on breeding bird communities in Maryland, USA. Biological Conservation **28**:287–324.

Manly, B. F. J. 1991. Randomization and monte carlo methods in biology. Chapman and Hall, New York, New York, USA.

Mantel, N. 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. Cancer Research **27**:209–220.

Martin, T. E. 1992. Landscape considerations for viable populations and biological diversity. Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference **57**:283–291.

Maurer, B. A., and S. G. Heywood. 1993. Geographic range fragmentation and abundance in Neotropical migratory birds. Conservation Biology **7**:501–509.

Milne, B. T. 1991. Lessons from applying fractal models to landscape patterns. Pages 199–235 *in* M. G. Turner and R. H. Gardner, editors. Quantitative methods in landscape ecology. Springer Verlag, New York, New York, USA.

Naveh, Z., and A. S. Lieberman. 1984. Landscape ecology: theory and application. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.

O'Connor, R. J. 1992. Population variation in relation to migrancy status in some North American birds. Pages 64–74 *in* J. M. Hagan, III, and D. W. Johnston, editors. Ecology and conservation of Neotropical migrant landbirds. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C., USA.

O'Neill, R. V., J. R. Krummel, R. H. Gardner, G. Sugihara, B. Jackson, D. L. DeAngelis, B. T. Milne, M. G. Turner, B. Zygmunt, S. W. Christensen, V. H. Dale, and R. L. Graham. 1988. Indices of landscape pattern. Landscape Ecology **1**:153–162.

Peterjohn, B. G., and J. R. Sauer. 1993. North American breeding bird survey annual summary 1990–1991. Bird Populations **1**:1–15.

Robbins, C. S., D. Bystrak, and P. H. Geissler. 1986. The breeding bird survey: its first fifteen years, 1965–1979. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Resource Publication **157**, Washington, D.C., USA.

Robbins, C. S., D. K. Dawson, and B. A. Dowell. 1989*a*. Habitat area requirements of breeding forest birds of the Middle Atlantic states. Wildlife Monograph **103**.

Robbins, C. S., J. R. Sauer, R. S. Greenberg, and S. Droege. 1989*b*. Population declines in North American birds that migrate to the neotropics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science (USA) **86**:7658–7662.

SAS Institute. 1985. SAS user's guide: statistics. Version 5 edition. SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA.

Sauer, J. R., and S. Droege. 1992. Geographic patterns in population trends of Neotropical migrants in North America. Pages 26–42 *in* J. M. Hagan, III, and D. W. Johnston, editors. Ecology and conservation of Neotropical migrant landbirds. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C., USA.

Sauer, J. R., B. G. Peterjohn, and W. A. Link. 1994. Observer differences in the North American breeding bird survey. Auk **111**:50–62.

Searle, S. R., F. M. Speed, and G. A. Milliken. 1980. Population marginal means in the linear model: an alternative to least squares analysis. American Statistician **34**:216–221.

Stevens, G. C. 1989. The latitudinal gradient in geographical range: how so many species coexist in the tropics. American Naturalist **133**:240–256.

Turner, M. G. 1989. Landscape ecology: the effect of pattern on process. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics **20**:171–197.

U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey. 1987. Land use and land cover digital data from 1:250,000- and 1:100,000-scale maps: data users guide. U.S. Geological Survey, National Mapping Program, Reston, Virginia, USA.

Väisänen, R. A., O. Järvinen, and P. Rauhala. 1986. How are extensive, human-caused habitat alterations expressed on the scale of local bird populations in boreal forests? Ornis Scandinavica **17**:282–292.

van Dorp, D., and P. F. M. Opdam. 1987. Effect of patch

size, isolation and regional abundance on forest bird communities. Landscape Ecology **1**:59–73.

Whitcomb, R. F., C. S. Robbins, J. F. Lynch, B. L. Whitcomb, M. K. Klimkiewicz, and D. Bystrak. 1981. Effects of forest fragmentation on avifauna of the eastern deciduous forest. Pages 125–205 *in* R. L. Burgess and D. M. Sharpe, editors. Forest island dynamics in man-dominated landscapes. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.

Wiens, J. A. 1992*a*. Ecology 2000: an essay on future directions in ecology. Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America **73**:165–170.

———. 1992*b*. What is landscape ecology, really? Landscape Ecology **7**:149–150.

Wiens, J. A., C. S. Crawford, and J. R. Gosz. 1985. Boundary dynamics: a conceptual framework for studying landscape ecosystems. Oikos **45**:421–427.

Wiens, J. A., N. C. Stenseth, B. Van Horne, and R. A. Ims. 1993. Ecological mechanisms and landscape ecology. Oikos **66**:369–380.